UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,446	04/20/2006	Ralph Zochert	BINA.P004.US	9797
42389 DORT PATEN	7590 03/19/200 T. P.C.	EXAMINER		
Box 26219		SOTELO, JESUS D		
Crystal City Station Arlington, VA 22215			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/595,446	ZOCHERT, RALPH				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jesús D. Sotelo	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 D</u>	ecember 2007					
<del>'=</del>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
. —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.	·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
·—						
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Oce the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3617

the invention.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-11 are in the application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

In claim 3, there is no proper antecedent for "the protruding portion of the screw".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jaynes et al (5,504,342).

Looking to Jaynes et al, claim 1 is anticipated in that it only positively recites "a handrail" [figs. 1-5] for the intended use of a tarpaulin, "having a handlebar" [10,30,30]. Beyond that, the claim merely recites that the handlebar "**can be** held between two bushes". Although the "bushes" are not positively recited, even if they were, the evidence of Jaynes et al meets the limitation by showing two bushes [18, 18', 36]. And since the "bushes" are not positively recited, the recitation of them "each having a screw thread" is not a positive recitation but merely a

Art Unit: 3617

statement of intended capability of combination with the bushes [18, 18', 36]. Once again, although not required by the claim, the evidence of Jaynes et al also show "screw threads" [fig 3; 22; also shown in fig. 2 and 5]. It is notoriously well known to one with ordinary skill in the art that one function of screw threads is to secure. As such, a screw can go through multiple layers especially when one layer is merely a cloth. The claim recites that for the non-positively recited screw thread they have the capability "to be inserted through the tarpaulin cloth in order to fasten them to the tarpaulin" and "with which the be affixed to the tarpaulin frame". The claim does not require the structure of the tarpaulin (cloth), bushes, screw threads, nor the tarpaulin frame. It also does not recite "how" the screws are to be inserted through the cloth. Also, making note of claim 2, if the "bushes" were positively recited the evidence of Jaynes et al also happens to show "the bush [18, 36] has a fastening arm [fig. 3; 20] o which the screw thread [22] is arranged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaynes et al (5,504,3420 in view of Ostrow (6,220,557).

Ostrow teaches interconnecting railing by means of support springs (fig. 4).

8. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaynes et al (5,504,342) in view of Rox et al (5,887,539).

Art Unit: 3617

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a boat as per Jaynes et al with a tarpaulin, generally as taught by Rex et al. The use of tarpaulins in boats is well

known in the art.

9. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jaynes

et al (5,504,342).

The manner in which the bush is secured to the boat would have been an obvious matter of

design choice. The use of screws, bolts and adhesives in various configurations would have been

obvious matters of design choice to one skilled in the art.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Carmichael (4,683,900) discloses a boat having a tarpaulin that includes handles 68,

70.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jesús D. Sotelo whose telephone number is 571-272-6686. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 6:00 AM -3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jesús D. Sotelo/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617